

TABLE 1.—*The computed regimen of the Great Lakes.*

(1) LAKE SUPERIOR.					
1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	48,600	4. Annual rainfall on watershed, inches.....	37.2
2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	31,800	5. Average run off, percentage.....	25.0	50.0
3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	1.528	6. Equivalent depth on watershed, inches.....	9.3	18.6
4. Annual rainfall on watershed, inches.....	31.2	7. Equivalent depth on lake surface, inches.....	22.8	45.6
5. Average run off, percentage.....	25.0	50.0	8. Annual rainfall on lake surface, inches.....	37.2	37.2
6. Equivalent depth on watershed, inches.....	7.8	15.6	9. Annual inflow in depth, inches.....	235.1	406.0
7. Equivalent depth on lake surface, inches.....	11.9	23.9	10. Total supply in depth, inches.....	295.1	488.8
8. Annual rainfall on lake surface, inches.....	31.2	31.2	11. Annual evaporation in depth, inches.....	24.0	24.0
9. Annual inflow in depth, inches.....	0.0	0.0	12. Available surplus, inches.....	271.1	464.4
10. Total supply in depth, inches.....	43.1	55.1	13. Measured outflow, inches.....	339.6
11. Annual evaporation in depth, inches.....	15.0	15.0	14. Ratio: Outflow / surplus.....	1.31
12. Available surplus, inches.....	28.1	40.0	(6) LAKE ONTARIO.		
13. Measured outflow, inches.....	36.7	1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	25,530
14. Ratio: Outflow / surplus.....	1.31	2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	7,450
(2) LAKE MICHIGAN.			3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	3.427
1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	45,700	4. Annual rainfall on watershed, inches.....	33.6
2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	22,400	5. Average run off, percentage.....	25.0	50.0
3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	2.040	6. Equivalent depth on watershed, inches.....	8.4	16.8
4. Annual rainfall on watershed, inches.....	33.6	7. Equivalent depth on lake surface, inches.....	17.1	34.3
5. Average run off, percentage.....	25.0	50.0	8. Annual rainfall on lake surface, inches.....	33.6	33.6
6. Equivalent depth on watershed, inches.....	8.4	16.8	9. Annual inflow in depth, inches.....	0.0	0.0
7. Equivalent depth on lake surface, inches.....	17.1	34.3	10. Total supply in depth, inches.....	50.7	67.9
8. Annual rainfall on lake surface, inches.....	33.6	33.6	11. Annual evaporation in depth, inches.....	21.6	21.6
9. Annual inflow in depth, inches.....	0.0	0.0	12. Available surplus, inches.....	29.1	46.3
10. Total supply in depth, inches.....	50.7	67.9	13. Measured outflow, inches.....
11. Annual evaporation in depth, inches.....	21.6	21.6	14. Ratio: Outflow / surplus.....
12. Available surplus, inches.....	29.1	46.3	(2)+(3) LAKE MICHIGAN PLUS HURON.		
13. Measured outflow, inches.....	1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	97,800
14. Ratio: Outflow / surplus.....	2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	45,600
(2)+(3) LAKE MICHIGAN PLUS HURON.			3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	2.145
1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	97,800	4. Annual rainfall on watershed, inches.....	33.6
2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	45,600	5. Average run off, percentage.....	25.0	50.0
3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	2.145	6. Equivalent depth on watershed, inches.....	8.4	16.8
4. Annual rainfall on watershed, inches.....	33.6	7. Equivalent depth on lake surface.....	18.0	36.0
5. Average run off, percentage.....	25.0	50.0	8. Annual rainfall on lake surface, inches.....	33.6	33.6
6. Equivalent depth on watershed, inches.....	8.4	16.8	9. Annual inflow in depth, inches.....	18.75	27.9
7. Equivalent depth on lake surface.....	18.0	36.0	10. Total supply in depth, inches.....	70.35	97.5
8. Annual rainfall on lake surface, inches.....	33.6	33.6	11. Annual evaporation in depth, inches.....	21.6	21.6
9. Annual inflow in depth, inches.....	18.75	27.9	12. Available surplus, inches.....	48.75	75.9
10. Total supply in depth, inches.....	70.35	97.5	13. Measured outflow, inches.....	67.02
11. Annual evaporation in depth, inches.....	21.6	21.6	14. Ratio: Outflow / surplus.....	1.38
12. Available surplus, inches.....	48.75	75.9	(2)+(3)+(4) LAKE MICHIGAN PLUS HURON PLUS ST. CLAIR.		
13. Measured outflow, inches.....	67.02	1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	104,190
14. Ratio: Outflow / surplus.....	1.38	2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	46,095
(2)+(3)+(4) LAKE MICHIGAN PLUS HURON PLUS ST. CLAIR.			3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	2.259
1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	104,190	4. Annual rainfall on watershed, inches.....	34.0
2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	46,095	5. Average run off, percentage.....	25.0	50.0
3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	2.259	6. Equivalent depth on watershed, inches.....	8.5	17.0
4. Annual rainfall on watershed, inches.....	34.0	7. Equivalent depth on lake surface, inches.....	19.20	38.3
5. Average run off, percentage.....	25.0	50.0	8. Annual rainfall on lake surface, inches.....	34.0	34.0
6. Equivalent depth on watershed, inches.....	8.5	17.0	9. Annual inflow in depth, inches.....	19.5	27.9
7. Equivalent depth on lake surface, inches.....	19.20	38.3	10. Total supply in depth, inches.....	72.7	100.2
8. Annual rainfall on lake surface, inches.....	34.0	34.0	11. Annual evaporation in depth, inches.....	21.7	21.7
9. Annual inflow in depth, inches.....	19.5	27.9	12. Available surplus, inches.....	51.0	78.5
10. Total supply in depth, inches.....	72.7	100.2	13. Measured outflow, inches.....	67.0
11. Annual evaporation in depth, inches.....	21.7	21.7	14. Ratio: Outflow / surplus.....	1.31
12. Available surplus, inches.....	51.0	78.5	(5) LAKE ERIE.		
13. Measured outflow, inches.....	67.0	1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	24,480
14. Ratio: Outflow / surplus.....	1.31	2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	10,000
(5) LAKE ERIE.			3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	2.448
1. Area of watershed, square miles.....	24,480			
2. Area of water surface, square miles.....	10,000			
3. Factor: Watershed / lake surface.....	2.448			

MOUNTAIN STATIONS IN AUSTRALIA.

The following extract from a letter addressed to the Chief of the Weather Bureau, by Clement L. Wragge, Government Meteorologist, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, dated February 7, 1898, shows that mountain meteorology is not to be confined to the Northern Hemisphere and the great continents, but will be prosecuted wherever mountain peaks can be found. We also infer that the Australian stations on Mount Wellington and Mount Kosciusko represent a general attack upon the problem of upper currents in which the whole of Australia, and not merely any one district, is interested. Indeed, for that matter, the whole Northern Hemisphere is interested in what goes on in the upper regions of the Southern Hemisphere, and we wish every success to Mr. Wragge's enterprise and to all similar efforts:

I have much pleasure in informing you that, on the 9th of December last, I established an experimental meteorological observatory on Mount Kosciusko, 7,328 feet, the highest mountain in New South Wales; and by January 1, a similar station correlative thereto was also established near the sea level at Merimbula, in New South Wales. Simultaneous observations are taken at both stations every four hours, commencing at midnight; and also, as a special series, half-hourly, between 8 a. m. and noon, on the original Ben Nevis lines. Simultaneous readings are also taken at Sale, in Victoria, near the sea level, and also at a special station established by me in the city of Sydney. Simultaneous observations are further taken (with the exception of those at the half-hours) at Hobart, on the summit of Mount Wellington, and at the Half-way Station. I sincerely trust that the results will prove of value to meteorology.

The principal donors to the Kosciusko scheme are Mr. Barr-Smith, of Adelaide, and the Honorable G. H. Reid, premier of New South Wales, as representing the New South Wales Government.

I hope to be able to make arrangements for the continuation of the mountain station during the winter months, but am not, as yet, quite sure on that point. At any rate, the Kosciusko experiment will be repeated at the close of the coming winter. You will see full accounts by the various newspapers which you will receive in due course, and this letter must be taken as my official intimation.

TIN ROOFS AS LIGHTNING CONDUCTORS.

A recent letter from Dr. John W. Kales, of Franklinville, N. Y., describes a terrific thunderstorm at that place on May 19, on which occasion several persons within houses were